

# Erratum to "Distinction for unipotent $p$ -adic groups"

Nadir Matringe

June 1, 2020

## Abstract

The Kirillov classification in [4] is misstated, namely [4, Theorem 3.6, 3)] is incorrect. We correct it here, as well as the incorrect proof of [4, Theorem 5.2] which follows from this misstatement. One can also check <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.07289v5> for a full corrected version.

First [4, Lemma 4.3] and the discussion before it must be replaced by:

We make  $\sigma$  act on  $\mathcal{N}^*$  by the formula

$$\sigma(\phi) = -\phi^\sigma.$$

Then a very special case of [4, Lemma 4.2] is:

**Lemma 0.1.** Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{N}^*$ , then  $\sigma(\phi)$  and  $\phi$  are in the same  $U$ -orbit if and only if there is a  $\sigma$ -fixed linear form in the  $U$ -orbit of  $\phi$ , i.e. a linear form which vanishes on  $\mathcal{N}^\sigma$ .

Then [4, Theorem 3.6, 3)] should be replaced by the following statement:

3) Two irreducible representations  $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$  and  $\pi(U'', U, \psi_{\phi'})$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are in the same  $U$ -orbit for the co-adjoint action.

The last two sentences of the proof of [4, Theorem 3.6, 3)] should be replaced by:

By induction this means that  $\phi|_{\mathcal{N}_0}$  and  $\phi'|_{\mathcal{N}_0}$  are  $U_0$ -conjugate. Then it is explained just before [3, Lemma 5.2] at the end of the proof of [3, Theorem 5.2] that this implies that  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are indeed  $U$ -conjugate.

One can then introduce the following notation:

**Notation 0.2.** The isomorphism class of the irreducible representation  $\pi(U', U, \phi)$  only depends on  $\phi$ , we set

$$\pi(\psi_\phi) := \pi(U', U, \psi_\phi).$$

Finally the proofs and statements of [4, Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3] must be corrected as follow, thanks to Lemma 0.3 hereunder:

Note that  $(\mathcal{N}^*)^\sigma$  and  $(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$  are canonically isomorphic, and we identify them. It is a space acted upon by  $U^\sigma$ . Before stating the main theorem, we recall [1, Lemma 2.2.1], the proof of which is valid over  $F$  (as it relies on [2, Proposition 1.1.2] which has no assumption on the field).

**Lemma 0.3.** Take  $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$ , then there is a  $\sigma$ -stable Lie sub-algebra  $\mathcal{N}'$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $(\phi, \mathcal{N}')$  is polarized.

We can now prove the following result.

**Theorem 0.4.** A representation  $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{U}^\sigma}(\mathbf{U})$  is distinguished if and only  $\pi^\vee = \pi^\sigma$ . Moreover the map  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma \cdot \phi \mapsto \pi(\psi_\phi)$  is a bijection from  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma \backslash (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$  to  $\text{Irr}_{\mathbf{U}^\sigma\text{-dist}}(\mathbf{U})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\pi = \pi(\psi_\phi) \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U})$  is conjugate self-dual, then  $\sigma(\phi)$  and  $\phi'$  are in the same  $\mathbf{U}$ -orbit, which must contain a  $\sigma$ -fixed linear form thanks to Lemma 0.1. So we can in fact suppose that  $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$ . In particular by Lemma 0.3 we can write  $\pi(\psi_\phi) = \pi(\mathbf{U}', \mathbf{U}, \psi_\phi)$  for  $\mathbf{U}' = \exp(\mathcal{N}')$  which is  $\sigma$ -stable. The quotient  $\mathbf{U}'^\sigma \backslash \mathbf{U}^\sigma$  identifies with a closed subset of  $\mathbf{U}' \backslash \mathbf{U}$  and the condition  $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$  implies that  $\psi_\phi$  is trivial on  $\mathbf{U}'^\sigma$ . Then  $\pi$  is distinguished, with explicit linear nonzero  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma$ -invariant linear form given on  $\pi$  by

$$\lambda : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbf{U}'^\sigma \backslash \mathbf{U}^\sigma} f(u) du.$$

To finish the proof it remains to prove the injectivity of the map  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma \cdot \phi \mapsto \pi(\psi_\phi)$ , which is [4, Lemma 4.4].  $\square$

In particular in the case of the Galois involution one gets a bijective correspondence between  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^\sigma)$  and  $\text{Irr}_{\mathbf{U}^\sigma\text{-dist}}(\mathbf{U})$ . Indeed  $\mathbf{U} = \text{Res}_{E/F}(\mathbf{U}^\sigma)$  for  $E$  a quadratic extension of  $F$ . Writing  $\delta$  for an element of  $E - F$  with square in  $F$ . One can identify the space  $(\mathcal{N}^\sigma)^*$  to the space  $(\mathcal{N}^*)^\sigma$  by the map

$$\mathbf{C} : \phi_\sigma \rightarrow \phi$$

where

$$\phi(N + \delta N') = \phi_\sigma(N').$$

This yields:

**Corollary 0.5.** When  $E/F$  is a Galois involution, the map  $\pi(\psi_{\phi_\sigma}) \rightarrow \pi(\psi_\phi)$  is a bijective correspondence from  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^\sigma)$  to  $\text{Irr}_{\mathbf{U}^\sigma\text{-dist}}(\mathbf{U})$

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Martin Andler for informing us of the misstatement of the Kirillov classification.

## References

- [1] Yves Benoist. Analyse harmonique sur les espaces symétriques nilpotents. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 59(2):211–253, 1984.
- [2] P. Bernat, N. Conze, M. Duflo, M. Lévy-Nahas, M. Raïs, P. Renouard, and M. Vergne. *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*. Dunod, Paris, 1972. Monographies de la Société Mathématique de France, No. 4.
- [3] A. A. Kirillov. Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 17(4):53–104, 1962.
- [4] Nadir Matringe. Distinction for unipotent  $p$ -adic groups. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 2020.

UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS,  
Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie,  
86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. France.  
*E-mail address:* `nadir.matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr`